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Dear Dr. Cruz,

Enclosed please find the revised version of our manuscript “Homocysteine, Methylenetetrahydrofolate Reductase C677T Polymorphism, and Risk of Retinal Vein Occlusion: An Updated Meta-analysis” (Manuscript ID: 2138316479137220).

We appreciate your supportive comments and the Reviewers’ thoughtful and constructive critiques, which had been extremely helpful in our revision. We have considered each of the reviewer’s comments, and have responded carefully and thoroughly as summarized in the following pages, which we believe that the article is thereby strengthened.

Thank you again for your further consideration of this manuscript.

Sincerely yours,

Minwen Zhou, MD
(1) Please include a conclusion section, according to our guidelines (http://www.biomedcentral.com/bmcoptomol/authors/instructions/researcharticle#formatting-conclusions).  
Answer: Thank you for your helpful reminder and suggestion. We had added this part in the manuscript.

(2) Competing Interest
- Please be advised that manuscripts must include a Competing interests section. This should be placed after the Conclusions/Abbreviations. If there are none to declare, please include the statements. The authors declare that they have no competing interests. Please consider the following questions and include an appropriate declaration of competing interests in your manuscript:

Financial competing interests
1) In the past five years have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future? Is such an organization financing this manuscript (including the article-processing charge)? If so, please specify.
2) Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organization that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future? If so, please specify.
3) Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript? Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript? If so, please specify.
4) Do you have any other financial competing interests? If so, please specify.

Non-financial competing interests
4) Are there any non-financial competing interests (political, personal, religious, ideological, academic, intellectual, commercial or any other) to declare in relation to this manuscript? If so, please specify.

For more information please visit the instructions for authors on the journal of website.

Answer: I had declared the Competing interests in the revised manuscript. Thank you!

“The authors declare that they have no competing interests. There are no sources of financial support to declare in this paper.”

(3) Author's Contribution

- For manuscripts with more than one author, all BMC Series journals require an Authors' Contributions section to be placed after the Competing Interests section. An 'author' is generally considered to be someone who has made substantive intellectual contributions to a published study. To qualify as an author one should 1) have made substantial contributions to conception and design, or acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; 2) have been involved in drafting the manuscript or revising it critically for important intellectual content; and 3) have given final approval of the version to be published. Each author should have participated sufficiently in the work to take public responsibility for appropriate portions of the content. Acquisition of funding, collection of data, or general supervision of the research group, alone, does not justify authorship.

We suggest the following format (please use initials to refer to each author's contribution): AB carried out the molecular genetic studies, participated in the sequence alignment and drafted the manuscript. JY carried out the immunoassays. MT participated in the sequence alignment. ES participated in the design of the study and performed the statistical analysis. FG conceived of the study, and participated in its design and coordination and helped to draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.
Contributors who do not meet the criteria for authorship should be listed in an acknowledgements section. Examples of those who might be acknowledged include a person who provided purely technical help, writing assistance, or a department chair who provided only general support.

Thank you! I had added the Authors' Contributions section in the revised manuscript.

“All authors conceived of and designed the experimental protocol. DL collected the data. All authors were involved in the analysis. DL and MZ wrote the first draft of the manuscript. XP and HS reviewed and revised the manuscript and produced the final version. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.”

(4) Acknowledgments

By way of a section “Acknowledgments”, please acknowledge anyone who contributed towards the article by making substantial contributions to conception, design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data, or who was involved in drafting the manuscript or revising it critically for important intellectual content, but who does not meet the criteria for authorship. Please also include the source(s) of funding for each author, and for the manuscript preparation. Authors must describe the role of the funding body, if any, in design, in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; and in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. Please also acknowledge anyone who contributed materials essential for the study. If a language editor has made significant revision of the manuscript, we recommend that you acknowledge the editor by name, where possible.

The role of a scientific (medical) writer must be included in the acknowledgements section, including their source(s) of funding. We suggest wording such as ‘We thank Jane Doe who provided medical writing services on behalf of XYZ Pharmaceuticals Ltd.’

Authors should obtain permission to acknowledge from all those mentioned in the Acknowledgments section.

Thank you. We had added the acknowledgment in the manuscript. “We do not have
anyone or any sources of funding to acknowledge.”