Reviewer's report

Title: Retro-mode Imaging and Fundus Autofluorescence with Scanning Laser Ophthalmoscope of Retinal Dystrophies

Version: 2 Date: 14 April 2011

Reviewer: Thomas Theelen

Reviewer's report:

Formal aspects:
The manuscript is written in very poor English. Please consult a native speaker.
The references sometimes market by uppercase, sometimes not. Please adhere to journal style.
The type of the study (i.e., retrospective, prospective, etc.) is not defined. The inclusion period and in-/exclusion criteria are not defined.
The results section includes numerous interpretations of the results. however, those have to be located in the discussion.

Content:
The motivation of the authors to tests RMI against the other imaging methods and to exclude OCT as another 3D-method remains unclear.
It is not discussed what may be the addendum of RMI to other diagnostic techniques. Only measuring prominences is no extra and can be performed by spectral OCT as well.
Why is RMI useful for follow-up? The authors conclude without evidence of own longitudinal data.

Level of interest: An article of limited interest

Quality of written English: Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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