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Reviewer’s report:

Overall this is a well written paper by Silva et al. that demonstrates that monitorization of disease activity by clinical examination and fluorescein angiography are not adequate and reinforces the importance of indocyanine green angiography in monitoring disease activity and in better conducting treatment.

I have the following criticism:

1. Figures – incomplete description in the manuscript and legends. I find only figure 1 description in the manuscript.

2. It is already reported in ref.5 that ICGA is useful for monitoring late stage of VKH. Please emphasize in the manuscript how your paper is different from previous reports.

3. Please indicate which criteria among ICGA findings were frequently observed in the manuscript.

4. Please describe the reason that the number of eyes with systemic treatment, in Table 1 13 eyes with systemic medication, however in Table 2 23 eyes with systemic medication.

5. Discussion: line 25-26 in page 9, in the absence of melanocyte, is it easier for observing the choroidal status?

6. What about the status of all patients fundus? (All sunset glow funds?) There is a correlation between the inflammation stage and funds status?

Level of interest: An article of limited interest

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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