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Reviewer’s report:

1) I have reviewed the revised manuscript. There have been many improvements made. My only comment is that the author should reference Figure 3 in the text-I did not find it.

The figure is now referenced in the text.

2) In addition, as he did in Fig 1 and 2, the author should show the HVF of the subject in Figure 3-I would assume the HVF was also a failure and did the patient have more advanced disease? There are still minor problems with the English but it is acceptable. I accept the revised manuscript-but please ask the author to address my comment.

I agree, there is now as the reviewer suggested a figure 3 and 4.

The English language has been checked by a native-speaking.