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Reviewer’s report:

The authors should read your paper carefully before submitting your paper.
A. There are some spelling missing in methods of Abstract?
B. The 3rd paragraph of Introduction is confused. "Our group uses a concentration of 1 mg in 0.1 ml for two years, and then we inject 2.50 mg/ml intracameral, similar to Garat et al (12), and we obtained excellent results, supporting the use of cefuroxime."....... 
C. There are errors in Results. "Demographic results in the two groups of patients:
Group 1. Formed by patients without intracameral instillation of cefazolin. This group included a total of 11696 patients, with a median age of 69.8±7.55 years (53-89 years); a total of 6785 (58.01%) were females. Group 2. Formed by patients without (?????) intracameral instillation of cefazolin at doses of 1mg/ 0.1 mL.
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