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Reviewer's report:

Comments to Authors:
This is a prospective observational study reporting the incidence of endophthalmitis with and without intracameral cefazolin. The reduction in the rate of endophthalmitis is impressive with intracameral cefazolin. The authors are to be congratulated on magnitude and important findings of this study. The following suggestions may improve the manuscript:

1. Professional editorial assistant in writing the manuscript. For example, the first sentence of the second paragraph in the introduction has a number of grammatical errors.

2. Under patients and methods, “the patients were classified in three groups” but actually there were only two groups.

3. Under results, the sentence “Final visual acuity achieved …was inferior to 0.1.” should have visual acuity consistently as snellen or LogMAR format, not mixed format with 20/40 and 0.1.

4. Should have a discussion as to why the rate of endophthalmitis for group 1 is so high at 0.63%. Most published reports has the rate at 0.1% or less.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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