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Author's response to reviews:

Dear Emilie

Executive Editor of BioMedCentral

The new version include the new paragraph:

In our district Health care Area we had a high level of endophthalmitis previously the intracameral uses of antibiotics, as we appointed in the present study in group 1, we can to observe that the incidence of endophthalmitis had a rate of 0.649%, this value is higher that the published in the literature in other countries (2,6, 8,14), but the incidence in Spain was also higher as at the study groups of our country previously demonstrated, thus in the Madrid health care area, Garcia-Saenz et al (19) found an incidence of 0.59% (95% CI, 0.50%-0.70%) between January 1999 and September 2005, furthermore Garat et al show an incidence of 0.422% (95% CI 0.279-0.613) and those studies (12,13). Because our center had an excessive number of endophthalmitis cases, despite using all means of regular prophylaxis (a sterile ophthamology operating room, povidone-iodine in skin (at 10%) and conjunctival sac (at 5%) with few surgical intraoperative complications etc.), we decided the use of intracameral antibiotics after cataract surgery

Sincerely

Prof Pedro Romero-Aroca