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Reviewer's report:

When assessing the work, please consider the following points:

1. Is the question posed by the authors well defined? YES
2. Are the methods appropriate and well described? YES
3. Are the data sound? YES
4. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition? YES
5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data? YES
6. Are limitations of the work clearly stated? NO
7. Do the authors clearly acknowledge any work upon which they are building, both published and unpublished? YES
8. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found? YES
9. Is the writing acceptable? YES

1. Introduction should be shortened to a few references for introducing the question..
2. Documentation of the injury characteristics in the study population according to Ocular Trauma Classification System OTCS) is recommended. Table should be given.
3. Documentation of the injury characteristics in the study population according to the Ocular Trauma Score (OTS) and inclusion of the OTS in the discussion section are recommended. Table should be given.
4. When did they perform vitrectomy or secondary interventions (early, late...?) and type surgical interventions?
5. Is median (or mean) follow up 18.9 months?
6. Is there any phthisical eye?
7. In addition to univariate multivariate analysis is recommended.
8. All the numbers should be stated with the percentages(%)?
9. Statistical methods should be selected carefully (Mann Whitney and ANOVA for correlation?); correlation coefficient for the results should be included.
10. Shortening of the discussion is advised.

**Level of interest:** An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published

**Statistical review:** Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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