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Reviewer's report:

This paper is well conceived and written. I have only a few comments about it.

First, the study has been designed as a non-inferiority trial between fixed and unfixed combinations. I don't think that a difference of 1.5 mmHg is suitable to this purpose, as even a 1 mmHg difference between the 2 study arms is going to be quite relevant. And I would be greatly surprised if fixed and unfixed combinations showed such a big difference! Second, as quoted in the reference list, there are a number of previous comparisons between fixed and unfixed timolol-latanoprost combo. Is this paper any news?
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