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**Reviewer's report:**

With the exception of the abstract, I am satisfied that authors have taken account of my comments in the revised manuscript.

**Major Compulsory Revisions**

The abstract needs careful looking at. It doesn't make clear that the HTS therapy was almost as effective as prism correction. As it reads now it suggests, somewhat misleadingly, that the treatment effectiveness of the prisms far exceeded the HTS therapy which is not correct.
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