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Details of corrections to proof:

Author list: Joydhan Tanchangya Dr and Alan Frederick Geater Dr
Title: Use of traditional cooking fuels and the risk of young adult cataract in rural Bangladesh: a hospital-based case-control study
Journal: BMC Ophthalmology
MS ID: 1777499463393993

Formatting changes to be made:

Major revisions (we require the author to make these changes)

Author list: the list of authors in the manuscript should be written exactly as they are in the submission system, both in style and order. The preferred style is 'First name Initial Last name' (e.g. Joe F Bloggs). Please also remove authors titles

The authors names have been written in the format required on the title page. (First author has no middle name.)

Affiliations: Each affiliation should be written in full, in the following format: Department, Institute, (Street), City, (Postal code), Country. This may mean repeating institutional addresses if the authors are from different departments within an institution

Affiliation of first author has been revised to omit the repeat of :”Bangladesh”.

References: please provide a functioning link to website

Functioning links have now been provided in these two references.

Authors' contributions: Please use the following format as an example, including using initials to refer to each author's contribution: AB carried out the molecular genetic studies, participated in the sequence alignment and drafted the manuscript. JY carried out the immunoassays. MT participated in the sequence alignment. ES participated in the design of the study and performed the statistical analysis. FG conceived of the study, and participated in its design and coordination. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

The “Authors’ contributions” section has been rewritten in the format required.
Tables: we are unable to display shading and colours within the tables. Can we therefore ask you to use another method of highlighting the shaded text e.g. by making it bold.

Colouring has been removed from the tables. There is no shading.

Minor revisions (we can make these changes for you, although it will speed up publication of your manuscript if you do them while making the major changes above)

Highlighting/tracking: Please remove all highlighting and tracking from the manuscript.

No highlighting or tracking is incorporated in the manuscript.

Structure: Please reformat concluding paragraph into its own "Conclusion" section

The concluding paragraph of the Discussion section has now been placed in its own “Conclusions” section.

Typography: Please take this opportunity to check your manuscript for any typographical errors and to make any final corrections or revisions. This is the final proofing stage for your manuscript, and you will not be able to make any changes after acceptance.

At the end of the first paragraph of the “Discussion:" section, there were two full stops. One has now been removed.

Reference 8: The website address given in the earlier draft was incomplete. It is now given correctly.

A “List of abbreviations used” has been added.