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Reviewer's report:

The authors began this work trying to characterize their work as an economic evaluation, and if making their revisions, they have evolved into a more traditional qualitative evaluation. In doing that, they have greatly strengthened their work. This is a very focused description of the program being evaluated that acknowledges the results of the program and its limitations. They have responded to my concerns as well as those of referee #1. I congratulate them on their efforts.
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