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Reviewer's report:

I think that this is an interesting case, which is worthy of publication. However I am aware of Dr Baumgartner's comments. Furthermore I do not feel that two of my essential recommendations have been fully addressed as I will outline below:

# Minor Essential that have not been fully addressed

1) The English grammar needs to be improved

   e.g. in the abstract the authors state, 'This is a rare but significant condition that predispose to multiple...'. This should be 'This is a rare but significant condition that predisposes to multiple...'.

   The authors also use 'maybe' when they mean 'may be' on a number of occasions.

3) As the authors state in their response, the article by 'Menon et al concluded that there is no data to support the therapeutic superiority of anticoagulants over antiplatelet agents and hence no recommendation was provided'. I agree with this but this is not how it is stated in the paper, where the authors write 'Management of VAD to prevent further embolic stroke is done on a case by case basis' and reference Menon et al. This statement should be qualified as has been done in the response to my comments.

Finally it would be interesting to know if in light of the MRI findings, was the CT scan which was reported as normal reviewed? This was part of my # Discretionary Revisions point 4)

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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