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Reviewer’s report:

1. Is the question posed by the authors well defined? Yes
2. Are the methods appropriate and well described? Yes
3. Are the data sound? Yes
4. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition? -
5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data? Yes
6. Are limitations of the work clearly stated -
7. Do the authors clearly acknowledge any work upon which they are building, both published and unpublished? -
8. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found? Yes
9. Is the writing acceptable? Some what

Major Compulsory Revisions

There are several repeating lines from introduction in the discussion.. This needs to be looked into.

Minor Essential Revisions

No information is given on the chemical constituents of plants used for the formulation. Such information would enhance the value of the findings.

The paper may accepted for publication with minor revisions