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**Reviewer’s report:**

The authors’ responses to my comments are satisfactory. I just have two further comments

Minor Essential Revisions
1) Discussion, page 12, line 8 – remove ‘how’
2) Discussion, page 13, line 6 – the AML study that is referenced did not show the XRCC3 variant to be associated with an increased risk of AML unless it was also combined with a RAD51 polymorphic variant. This should either be corrected or the reference removed.
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