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Reviewer's report:

In general, the manuscript has improved substantially. The authors have answered my points. I suggest only a few minor revisions.

1. Page 9, lines 5-6: The authors write "return-to-work rates and sickness absence figures from more than two decades ago would likely be lower compared to today, as cancer related morbidity is lower and survival has significantly improved due to medical advances". Return-to-work rates may have been lower compared to today, but couldn't the sickness absence figures have been higher.

2. Table 1, column Number of subjects enrolled: This is indicated for other studies but is missing for the study of Winick et al.

3. Table 1, column Participants: Work status per cancer in the study of Sachs et al should be moved to column number of subjects enrolled like in other studies.
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