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Reviewer's report:

Major Compulsory Revisions
A systematic review of only 4 studies seems unnecessary. These studies are highly variable and were conducted over 25 years ago. Breast cancer treatment has changed drastically in the past 25 years. The studies reviewed are highly variable in quality and do not meet typical standards (e.g., RCT) for a "good" study. Knowing that only 4 intervention studies have used return-to-work as an outcome does not sufficiently contribute to the science nor does a critique of the existing studies at anything to the literature. The analysis presented in this paper could be condensed to serve as a background section for a more extensive paper that reports results from a new intervention.
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