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Reviewer's report:

General
The revisions adequately address the issues raised in the initial review. The manuscript is now suitable for publication.

Major Compulsory Revisions
No suggestions.

Minor Essential Revisions
No suggestions.

Discretionary Revisions
No suggestions.

What next?: Accept without revision

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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