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Reviewer’s report:

General The manuscript is well written, the problem is actual and well presented. The important point is that the patient described was also enrolled in clinical study program. Such approach is theoretically advisable for major part of the patients.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)
none

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)
The hypothesis of such approach could be used also for the patients with gastric CA.

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after discretionary revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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