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Reviewer’s report:

General

The main argument raised is the missing gold standard. However, I think the approach of the authors is a feasible one and the paper could be published as such.

One of the main tables is table 2, which graphically not optimal depicted the different patient groups in its current form. The 18 patients with different imaging results should be grouped according to the results of the tests, e.g. all patient with a reference method for discrepancies should be in a group rather than be scattered all over the table.

Overall the paper could be accepted after the minor changes are made as described above

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)