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Dear Biomed Central Editorial production team,

I have made editorial changes as suggested (Figure legends and figure numbering). Most problems so far I have is with uploading of files and that your server is not responding.

Best regards

Herbert Weich

Dear Dr. Le,

Hereby we submit the final version of our manuscript *MS: 1331223316111316; Elevated expression of VEGFR-3 in lymphatic endothelial cells from lymphangiomas (3. revised version)*

With kind regards,

H.A. Weich

**Reviewer's report (referee 4)**
Elevated expression of VEGFR-3 in lymphatic endothelial cells *Title:* from lymphangiomas  
**Version: 5 Date:** 26 April 2007  
**Reviewer:** Peter Sydney Mortimer  
**Reviewer's report:**  
To Authors - Unfortunately what the authors have included as a hypothesis is a question not a hypothesis. A hypothesis is a prediction of what results are expected from experimentation. The hypothesis is generated from sound theoretical reasoning and prenors
data. My hypothesis would be 'if LECs have stable surface markers then these markes should be consistently expressed whatever the source of LECs'.

The conclusion appears to be unclear; surface marker expression varies according to the source of cells.

We have re-evaluated our Conclusion and can’t find any unclear formulation or interpretation of our results.

The manuscript still seems overlong to me for the findings it delivers.

We have further reduced the length of the manuscript by reducing parts of the discussion which we have already reduced before but which was still too detailed in some aspects.


Page 14: last sentence on the page
Page 15: first two sentences of the page
Page 16. 1. Para: 3. Sentence
In total we have reduced the discussion part from 1619 to 1451 words.

Indeed the authors have not answered the question regarding what is new and original in this communication.

The new parts of our finding are described in the abstract and part of it in the title of our work. However, this is the first study done which LECs form two young patients with lymphangiomas including expression profiling.