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COVER LETTER
Dear Iratxe Puebla
Please find below the point by point description of changes made.
Dr Abimbola Oluwatosin

Reviewer’s report:

**Title:** Knowledge of breast cancer and its’ early detection measures among the rural women in Akinyele Local government Area in Ibadan, Nigeria.

**Version:** 1  **Date:** 29 August 2006  
**Version:** 2  **Date:** 16 October 2006  
**Reviewer:** Paolo Bruzzi

Reviewer’s report:

General

Major Compulsory Revisions

Minor Essential Revisions

Discretionary Revisions
There are still few missing words or misspellings
The paper would take advantage if the authors:
- acknowledge the study limitations (unknown numbers and characteristics of refusals)
- Shorten and focus a bit the Discussion section

**What next?:** Accept after discretionary revisions

**Level of interest:** An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable
**Statistical review:** No

**Declaration of competing interests:**

RESPONSE TO REVIEWER’S REPORT
The spellings have been corrected and necessary words have been inserted.
The discussion section has been reduced and it is better focused.
2nd Reviewer’s report

Title: Knowledge of breastcancer and its’ early detection measures among rural women in Akinyele Local government Area in Ibadan, Nigeria.

Version: 1 Date: 15 August 2006
Version: 2 Date: 3 November
Reviewer: Lisa Madlensky

Reviewer’s report:
General

----------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)
None

----------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)
None
What next? : Accept without revision

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable
Statistical review: No

Declaration of competing interests:

I declare that I have no competing interests.

Response to reviewer’s report:
No response required.
Letter to the editor

Dear Iratxe Puebla
Thanks for accepting to publish my paper in BMC cancer when all criteria are fulfilled

MS: 1092922888110792
Knowledge of breast cancer and its’ early detection measures among rural women in Akinyele Local government Area , in Ibadan, Nigeria.

The manuscript have been improved in line with the comments provided by the reviewers comments and Authors’ checklist for manuscript formatting In addition the following changes requested were made:

Authors list- the list of authors in the manuscript is written in the order as they are in the submission system. The two authors had just their forename and surname.

Abstract : The abstract has been structured into Background, Methods, Results, Conclusions.

References: References are now cited within text using consecutive numbers in brackets

The section “Conclusions” is properly addressed as such.

Competing interests section is now included

Each Author’s contribution is now clearly stated
Thank you,
Abimbola Oluwatosin.