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Reviewer's report:

General

The paper must be approved by a human studies committee. I saw no such approval

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

The numbers are quite small to assess response or efficacy more patients would be helpful
There is essentially no toxicity data
this would be better tested on a recurrent population and then tried in a primary setting group.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Reject because too small an advance to publish

Level of interest: An article of insufficient interest to warrant publication in a scientific/medical journal

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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