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**General**

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

**Major Compulsory Revisions** (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

Acknowledgement should be provided that informed consent was provided by the patient

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

**Minor Essential Revisions** (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

**Abstract:**
1. Please clarify duration of follow-up - is it 9.7 months after end-of-treatment or from presentation.
2. What was the total duration of treatment?
3. The conclusion states this is a "safe" way, but the patient experienced treatment-related morbidities.

**Background:**
1. title should be "Background"
2. line 15, first page- should be: The response rates to single agent gemcitabine...

**Case presentation:**
1. line 21: bowl should be bowel; there are several other spelling errors and careful proof-reading and correction will be necessary.

2. May be helpful to show a figure of the tissue/cells stained with EGFR if available

3. Despite immunological staining for CK7, the clinical presentation raises suspicion of a colonic malignancy. It would be helpful to provide information on colonoscopy or any colonic imaging performed.

4. Did performance status really improve? - Karnofsky stated as 70% at presentation, 70-80% at end-of treatment.

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

**Discretionary Revisions** (which the author can choose to ignore)
What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No
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