Author’s response to reviews

Title: Prognosis of screen-detected breast cancers: results of a population based study

Authors:

Laura Cortesi (hbc@unimo.it)
Vincenzo E Chiuri (chiuriv@unimore.it)
Silvia Ruscelli (silvia_ruscelli@hotmail.it)
Valeria Bellelli (v.bellelli@ausl.mo.it)
Rossella Negri (r.negri@ausl.mo.it)
Ivan Rashid (rashid.ivan@policlinico.mo.it)
Claudia Cirilli (cirilli.claudia@policlinico.mo.it)
Antonella Fracca (fraccaantonella@policlinico.mo.it)
Ennio Gallo (gallo.ennio@unimo.it)
Massimo Federico (federico@unimore.it)

Version: 7 Date: 19 December 2005

Author’s response to reviews:

Dear editor,

as suggested by the reviewer the manuscript has been copyedited by a native English speaking colleague which has formatted and corrected some key words and phrases throughout the paper. The following revisions have been performed

Title and section headings: All unnecessary capitals have been removed
Title & abstract: an identical abstract has been submitted in the manuscript and in the electronic system
Highlighting/Tracking were removed from the text
Methods: non ethics committee was sought for the study since it was already approved by the Region Government
Tables: all the tables were subdivided into cells, with borders colored as black lines. Every table has been formatted in black and white and the text was aligned in columns.
Figure title has been removed in the image file
Figures: they were cropped as closely as possible to minimise white space around the image according to the author’s instructions

As suggested by the reviewer the sentence on lead-time and length bias has been modified.
The subtitle of the Results was changed in "Prognostic factors".
All the revisions proposed on the “Discussion session” were performed
In Table 4 reference categories were indicated