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Author's response to reviews:

Dear Dr Pemberton,

Please find our revised version of the manuscript "Histological response of peritoneal carcinomatosis after hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy (HIPEC) in experimental investigations." MS: 4726153589009844 by Pelz et al. for reconsideration for publication in BMC Cancer. We have provide a point-by-point response below to the concerns of the two reviewers.

Referee 1: No revisions

Referee 2:
- Now the rationale for HIPEC is more discussed
- The paper of VERWAAL (the only randomized trial) is mentioned as a proof of its efficacy.
- Page 6: the ratio between HIPEC MMC and i.p. MMC dose is clearly stated in the methodic paper from Pelz et al in BMC Cancer 2005 5:56. a link was made.
- "Verwaal" was deleted
- "Aplicated" was changed in "applied"
- Groups are now correct named
- "Were" was changed in "was"
- Tables and figures are placed in the order

We feel that the revisions have strengthened the contention. The authors thank for your consideration of our revised manuscript.

Sincerely,

Joerg O.W. Pelz M.D.