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Reviewer’s report:

General
I am very pleased with the revised manuscript. The authors have conformed to almost all of my comments. The quality of the language is now acceptable. As an article regarding electrochemical treatment (EChT) it reveals some interesting findings, especially regarding inflammatory changes and tumor growth delay. It is up to the Editor to decide if the submitted manuscript does have the required priority to be published.

I have no major remarks. However, it would be good if the following changes were taken into consideration:

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

1: p2, row 26 (Abstract): “Significant tumor growth delay and survival rate were achieved….”. Please insert “increased” before “survival rate”.

2: p3, row 23: Change “clinic” to clinical.

3: p8, rows 15-17: “On the other hand, Von Euler [11] observed apoptosis around the anode and both necrosis and apoptosis around cathode.”

The sentence should be changed into; On the other hand, Von Euler [11] observed both apoptosis and necrosis around the anode but only necrosis around cathode.

Table 2: “Monocites infiltrate” should be changed into; Monocytic infiltrate.

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable
Statistical review: No
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