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General

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Though I do not agree with all the choices and intentions the authors have made in this study, they have made their choices and intentions more clearly in the current version. In addition I can live with the authors preference to put means in their tables 2 and 3, and describe medians in their text. Maybe they can add in that para that the lower rank indicates the more preferred test.

What next?: Accept after discretionary revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable
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