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Reviewer's report:

Major Compulsory Revisions

1. The methods section needs to be expanded. The 35 question survey that was used should be included in the manuscript as a figure.

2. What statistical package/software was used to conduct the analysis? This needs to be included under the section on statistical analysis.

3. I think you need to state clearly in a few sentences in either the introduction or the discussion section of your paper as to what is it that is really unique about your study. What existing gap in the literature does your study seek to fill and did you accomplish that objective? The authors do mention it in a fragmented way throughout the manuscript, but this needs to be presented to the reader in a more convincing and coherent way. In other words, to put it more bluntly, given the severe limitations of the study design and small sample size, why should this study be published?

4. The number of responders you have is quite small. Can you obtain more responses using a survey that is not internet-driven, as you referenced in the manuscript?

5. Why is the overwhelming majority of respondents from Urban centers (95.6%)?

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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