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Dear Ms Roselyn Remoto,
On behalf of my co-authors, we thank you for your letter for our manuscript entitled “Association between TP53 codon 72 polymorphism and risk of oral squamous cell carcinoma in Asians: A meta-analysis” (MS: 6894449181187531).

According to your letter, we have revised the manuscript carefully and made a point-by-point response. Details of the revision and the responses to the comments were described as flowing:

1. **Copy Edit**
   We recommend that you copyedit the paper to improve the style of written English. If this is not possible, you may need to use a professional language editing service. For authors who wish to have the language in their manuscript edited by a native-English speaker with scientific expertise, BioMed Central recommends Edanz (www.edanzediting.com/bmc1). BioMed Central has negotiated a 10% discount to the fee charged to BioMed Central authors by Edanz. Use of an editing service is neither a requirement nor a guarantee of acceptance for publication. For more information, see our FAQ on language editing services at http://www.biomedcentral.com/authors/authorfaq/editing.

   **Response:** The Copy Editor of Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Joey S.W. Kwong help to copyedit our paper according to the “Template” you provided and polished the language. Joey S.W. Kwong went to UK in her 16 years, she has held the previous managing editor of the Cochrane Heart Group and consultant of the Cochrane Gynaecological Cancer Group now. The publications of her are showed in http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Kwong+JS. All revised portion are highlighted in red.

2. Please include a labelled conclusion section, according to our guidelines (http://www.biomedcentral.com/bmccancer/authors/instructions/researcharticle#formatting-conclusions).

   **Response:** We have revised this section according to the guidelines.

3. In accordance with BioMed Central editorial policies (http://www.biomedcentral.com/about/editorialpolicies#StandardsofReporting), can you please include a completed PRISMA checklist as an additional file when submitting your revised manuscript. We would also ask that you include a completed copy of the PRISMA flowchart for your study as a figure in your manuscript.

   **Response:** The flowchart for our study is used the PRISMA flowchart and named “Figure 1” in our manuscript when we first submitted.

   We have completed the PRISMA checklist and submitted it as your request.

We tried our best to improve the manuscript and made some changes. These changes will not influence the content and framework of the paper. And here we did not list the changes but marked in red in revised paper.
We appreciate for editors/reviewers’ warm work earnestly, and hope that the correction will meet with approval. Once again, thank you very much for your comments and suggestions.

Best Regards.

Yours Sincerely,
Wei-Dong Leng
E-mail: lengtaihe@163.com