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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editor,

Thank you for your letter concerning our manuscript.

We have carefully studied all of the editorial comments and revised the manuscript accordingly. Attached please find the revised manuscript as well as a list providing a detailed explanation of all revisions.

We hope that the manuscript is now suitable for publication in BMC Cancer and look forward to hearing from you soon.

Yours sincerely,

Sandra Steffens

Revision-2 to Roos et al. Ref.: Ms. 1638923576118483

Editorial Comments:

1. Please provide the full name of all the ethics committees and institutional review boards that approved your study.
The following ethics committees approved the study:
- Ethics committee of the Medical School Hannover
- Ethics committee of Ulm University Medical Center
- Ethics Committee of the State Chamber of Physicians Rheinland-Pfalz, Germany
- Ethics Committee of the Jena University Hospital
- Ethics Committee of the State Chamber of Physicians Saarland

2. Please include emails for all authors on your title page.
Answer: Done

3. Please rename 'Introduction' as 'Background'.
Answer: Done

4. Competing Interest.
Please be advised that manuscripts must include a ?Competing interests? section. This should be placed after the Conclusions/Abbreviations. If there are none to declare, please include the statement ?The authors declare that they have no competing interests.? Please consider the following questions and include an appropriate declaration of competing interests in your manuscript:
Answer: Done

5. Financial competing interests
? In the past five years have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future? Is such an organization financing this manuscript (including the article-processing charge)? If so, please specify.
? Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organization that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future? If so, please specify.
? Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript? Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript? If so, please specify.
? Do you have any other financial competing interests? If so, please specify.
Non-financial competing interests
? Are there any non-financial competing interests (political, personal, religious, ideological, academic, intellectual, commercial or any other) to declare in relation to this manuscript? If so, please specify.
For more information please visit the instructions for authors on the journal?s website.
Answer: Done

6. Authors contribution.
For manuscripts with more than one author, all BMC Series journals require an Authors' Contributions section to be placed after the Competing Interests section. An 'author' is generally considered to be someone who has made substantive intellectual contributions to a published study. To qualify as an author one should 1) have made substantial contributions to conception and design, or acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; 2) have been involved in drafting the manuscript or revising it critically for important intellectual content; and 3) have given final approval of the version to be published. Each author should have participated sufficiently in the work to take public responsibility for appropriate portions of the content. Acquisition of funding, collection of data, or general supervision of the research group, alone, does not justify authorship. We suggest the following format (please use initials to refer to each author's contribution): AB carried out the molecular genetic studies, participated in the sequence alignment and drafted the manuscript. JY carried out the immunoassays. MT participated in the sequence alignment. ES participated in the design of the study and performed the statistical analysis. FG conceived of the study, and participated in its design and coordination and helped to draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Contributors who do not meet the criteria for authorship should be listed in an acknowledgements section. Examples of those who might be acknowledged include a person who provided purely technical help, writing assistance, or a department chair who provided only general support.

Answer: Done

7. Acknowledgements.
By way of a section ?Acknowledgements?, please acknowledge anyone who contributed towards the article by making substantial contributions to conception, design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data, or who was involved in drafting the manuscript or revising it critically for important intellectual content, but who does not meet the criteria for authorship. Please also include the source(s) of funding for each author, and for the manuscript preparation. Authors must describe the role of the funding body, if any, in design, in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; and in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. Please also acknowledge anyone who contributed materials essential for the study. If a language editor has made significant revision of the manuscript, we recommend that you acknowledge the editor by name, where possible.
The role of a scientific (medical) writer must be included in the acknowledgements section, including their source(s) of funding. We suggest wording such as 'We thank Jane Doe who provided medical writing services on behalf of XYZ Pharmaceuticals Ltd.'

Authors should obtain permission to acknowledge from all those mentioned in the Acknowledgements section.

Answer: Not applicable.

All changes in the manuscript are marked in red.