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Reviewer’s report:

The authors have addressed some comments, however have failed to address others, and simply state that this is for future research. It would be advisable, if the authors could attempt to perform some of the analyses suggested.

Major compulsory revisions
1. Why did they only look at cases >90% ER+ cells if one of their aims was to assess the regional distribution of ER+ and ER- cells.
2. Point 2 should be relatively easy to test
3. Point 7- were the authors able to look at this association with DCIS lesions?
4. Point 8 should be relatively easy to test as well
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