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Dear editor

We would like to thank both reviewers for their constructive comments. English review has been redone by Dr Bob Tank. The manuscript has also been reformatted in line with the journal guidelines. All comments from the reviewer have been included:  

1st reviewer
A change in line 2 of background reads “is highest” while line 1 of methodology reads "study that involved".
Percentage has been inserted after n=75 to read "(n=75, 56.0%)"
Line 5 of result has been changed to read “Nodular BCC (75%) was the most common type of BCC"
Line 1 of discussion reads "This study is the largest histological review of skin cancers in African albinos. Previous studies reported results from small sample size with the largest study involving 64 cases (8)"

2nd reviewer
The number of patients involved is included and line 1 of results reads "134 specimens from 86 patients"
Melanoma reads "Acral lentiginous Melanoma" and reason for not determining Breslow thickness given “The specimen was a small part of a large tumour"
More Information added on outreach clinics to read "The outreach clinics are done twice a year. During the clinics all people with albinism are screened and treated for skin cancers, educated on sun protection, and supplied with sun protective creams and clothing."
In line 11 of methods the classification was based on the area of the specimen which was poorly differentiated and therefore we prefer that this line reads "Poorly differentiated region of the specimen"

Sincerely

Samson Kiprono