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Ms Cherry Battad
Journal Editorial Office
BioMed Central

RE: MS: 1378166039976762

“The association of TP53 mutations with the resistance of colorectal carcinoma to the insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor inhibitor picropodophyllin”

Dear Cherry

We are grateful for the acceptance of our manuscript. We also fully agree with the Editorial Request in order to improve the quality of the manuscript.

The point-by-point response (R) to the Editorial Request in italic and smaller fond (ER) follows:

ER 1). Requesting Copyediting:

After reading through your manuscript, we feel that the quality of written English needs to be improved before the manuscript can be considered further.

We advise you to seek the assistance of a fluent English speaking colleague, or to have a professional editing service correct your language. Please ensure that particular attention is paid to the abstract.

R1: In response to this request, Dr Costas G Hadjipanayis, a native English speaker, Cancer Coalition Distinguished Scholar, and Director of Neurosurgery at Emory University Hospital Midtown, has kindly revised the entire manuscript.

ER 2). Please include an Acknowledgements section:

Please acknowledge anyone who contributed towards the article by making substantial contributions to conception, design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data, or who was involved in drafting the manuscript or revising it critically for important intellectual content, but who does not meet the criteria for authorship. Please also include the source(s) of funding for each author, and for the manuscript preparation. Authors must describe the role of the funding body, if any, in design, in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; and in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. Please also acknowledge anyone who contributed materials essential for the study. If a language editor has made significant revision of the manuscript, we recommend that you acknowledge the editor by name, where possible.

The role of a scientific (medical) writer must be included in the acknowledgements section, including their source(s) of funding. We suggest wording such as ‘We thank Jane Doe who provided medical writing services on behalf of XYZ Pharmaceuticals Ltd.’
Authors should obtain permission to acknowledge from all those mentioned in the Acknowledgements.

R2: In the revised manuscript under Authors’ contributions, we acknowledge each of the authors for their contributions to conception, design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data, writing and revising of the manuscript, and providing of research materials.

In the revision, the section of Acknowledgements indicates the funding resources to C Hao and C. G. Hadjipanayis in conjunction with their contribution to the manuscript as indicated under the section of the Authors’ contributions.

As per editorial request, we include the scientific writer, Dr C. G. Hadjipanayis as an author (please see the list of the authors).

In summary, we feel that the manuscript has been improved significantly after the revision and hope that the revised manuscript is suitable for publication in your journal.

Sincerely yours,

Quan Wang, MD
Professor and Vice Chair
Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery
First Hospital of Jilin University
Changchun, Jilin 130021, China