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**Reviewer's report:**

It is excellent report presented by authors; however needs clarification in following points.

**Major comments**

1. Title of case report is confusing for readers as it lacks clarification that you are talking about eosinophilic hepatic lesion or metastatic nodule
2. Whether this patient underwent broncho-alveolar lavage? Worth to mention in case presentation
3. There is direct a conclusion part after case presentation without discussion
4. It would be worth wise to discuss differential diagnosis of metastatic and eosinophilic hepatic nodules
5. If there were radiologic signs of eosinophilic pneumonitis. At that point if steroids would started patient could not develop lesions into liver? Kindly comment

**Minor revisions**

1. Discussion needs flow. In current form it is confusing and it would be nice to emphasize more on hepatic lesions in presence of eosinophilia

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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