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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear editor,

Thank you very much for your comments and suggestions.

As suggested, the manuscript has been revised. The questions of the reviewers have been answered below point by point in the text.

Looking forward to hearing from you soon.

With best regards,

Yours Sincerely

Jianmin Liu and Zhijian Yue

Department of Neurosurgery,

Changhai Hospital, Second Military Medical University,

168 Changhai Road, Shanghai 200433,

China

Comments
(1) Name of Ethics Committee

Please update your ethics statement to include the name of the ethics committee that approved your study.

Answer: We updated your ethics statement “The collection and use of the patient samples were reviewed and approved by Shanghai Changhai Hospital Ethics Committee review board, and written informed consent from all patients was appropriately obtained”.

(2) Please provide more details of how and why the human samples were collected - were these patients undergoing surgery?

Answer: We showed more detail as follow.

Human tissues used in this study were obtained in 2012 from Changhai Hospital, Second Military Medical University in China, including 3 normal brain tissues, 6 grade II glioma tissues, 6 grade III glioma tissues and 7 grade IV glioma (GBM) tissues. Tumor tissue samples were obtained by surgical resection. Normal brain tissues were obtained during surgery for severe traumatic brain injury. Specimens were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Patients were selected for the study if their diagnosis was established histologically according to the World Health Organization classification guidelines by two neuropathologists.

(3) Competing interests

Answer: We added it as follow.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

(4) Authors' contributions

Answer: We added it as follow.

Author’s contributions

DD and QL carried out studies, data analysis and drafted the paper; LW and WZ participated in data analysis; YC, YL and GH participated in design of the study and statistical analysis; JL and YZ participated in design and cooperated in the draft and final revision of the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.