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Reviewer's report:

Clarity of methods section much improved.

Minor Essential revisions

1) Table 2 MPR and persistence you describe as not normally distributed so please give median and interquartile range rather than mean and standard deviation

2) Discussion is still a bit long and confusing. Summarize your results section less and give more your interpretation. For example: Many persistence and adherence etc not significantly different between groups, but pooled analysis demonstrated a significant effect of the intervention arms over control. Major implication is that ..... (patient can benefit from more information/communication over the course of treatment?). The key reason the authors believe these findings were not statistically significant was because.....(unmeasured variables that limit ability to adhere such as income to purchase medications, proximity to pharmacy, literacy, etc)

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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