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Reviewer's report:

Major Compulsory Revisions
1) Although the statistical methods are understandable, there seems to be more to this story and I would encourage the authors to delve a little bit deeper to confirm that in fact there is only a trend toward significance. A better analysis for testing the hypothesis for persistence would have been a logrank test. A better test for adherence at 12 months would have been a logistic regression with t stage, nodal status, chemo and radiotherapy included in the model as those do not seem exactly the same across groups and may have a small effect on adherence creating noise in your data.

2) Minor essential revisions
The writing requires grammar revisions.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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