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Reviewer's report:

Minor essential revisions:
1. In your discussion section, you state that pharmacists comprise the "smallest individual clinician group". Based on your table 1, they are in fact the second smallest, with radiation having the smallest number of total registrants.
2. In your discussion section, you state that clinicians with 5-10 years’ oncology experience had the highest rates of eviQ use. Your data only supports the statement that they have the highest rates of eviQ registration, not use.

Discretionary revisions:
3. You have determined your selection of October 2011 as a "typical" month based only on a comparison of the rate of total webhits with two other months. Do you have any plans to conduct a more detailed comparison with previous months (e.g. looking at the Google Analytics data) to examine changes in usage patterns?
4. Can you explain the discrepancy between the webhits captured in the eviQ logfile (169,647) and the page views captured by Google analytics (184,812)? As you point out, the addition of the Google Analytics data adds another dimension to your research. The discrepancy in the two numbers is not large, but may reveal an interesting difference in how the two systems track usage.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.

Declaration of competing interests:
I declare that I have no competing interests