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Reviewer’s report:

Interesting and newfangled article on the importance of the method of diagnosis in the underlying biology of breast cancer, and its role in the definition of treatment. The conclusion, a little daring, is that the detection method is a prognostic factor for the BC survival because different biological parameters. The detection method is important and should be taken into account for therapy decision.

Minor revisions:

1.- It is necessary to standardize the nomenclature: (c-erbB2, c-erb-b2, c-erb-B2) or (CI 0,8; CI=0,8, CI:0,8)
2.- Check spelling and grammar in English
3.- In the Abstract at the concluding portion not speak any of the underlying biology, change this paragraph
4.- There are whole paragraphs of the introduction that contain no bibliographic reference

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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