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Reviewer's report:

The authors have done a good job in addressing the comments of both reviewers. The paper now reads well and has a clearer message. I have only one minor revision and a small number of suggested textual changes.

Minor Essential Revisions
1. Page 5, Paragraph 3, define what exactly you mean by ‘minimal endoscopic capacity’ here.

Discretionary Revisions
1. Page 3, Methods section, 4th line: change ‘determined’ to ‘estimated’.
2. Page 3, Results section, 3rd line: change ‘with’ to ‘by’.
3. Page 4, Conclusions section, 3rd line: change to ‘… an estimated additional…’
4. Page 4, Conclusions section, 3rd line: delete ‘,’ after likely
5. Page 5, Paragraph 2, 3rd line: change ‘what’ to ‘which’
6. Page 11, last line: change to ‘The number of reported colonoscopies…’
7. Page 12, Paragraph 2, 2nd line: delete ‘of’ before 78,000
8. Page 14, Paragraph 3, 1st line: change to ‘… in the context…’
9. Page 14, Paragraph 3, 1st line: change to ‘… Ireland and Romania have recent data been published.’
10. Page 15, Paragraph 1, 10th line: change to ‘… lower than the production …’
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