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Dear editor,
Thank you very much for your attention and the reviewer’s evaluation to our manuscript “Paraneoplastic syndrome mimicking Adult-Onset Still's Disease caused by advanced lung cancer: a case report”. We have revised the paper according to your kind advices. We sincerely hope this manuscript will be finally published on *BMC cancer*.

**Responses to editor’s comments:**

**Comment 1:** Case presentation should be provided with subheading describing the results of biochemical and physical/clinical examinations and treatment modalities employed.

**Response:** Subheadings describing the patient’s relevant medical history, the results of biochemical and physical/clinical examinations, the treatment modalities and outcome of the patient were added in our case presentation.

**Comment 2:** “Conclusion” should be changed to “Discussion and Conclusions”.

**Response:** “Conclusion” has been changed to “Discussion and Conclusions”.

**Comment 3:** Should follow the BMC Cancer style of presentation.

**Response:** We have gone through the “Authors’ checklist for manuscript formatting” and “case reports instructions for BMC Cancer authors” and we are sure that our manuscript follows the BMC Cancer style of presentation.

**Comment 4:** “Consent” should go before presentation of cases: under Subjects/Materials.

**Response:** “Consent” has been shifted to the second paragraph of “Background”. A copy of the written consent is available for review by the Editor-in-Chief of this journal.

**Comment 5:** Shift Abbreviations to an appropriate place.

**Response:** Since all of the abbreviations were defined in the text where first used, the part of “List of abbreviations” was deleted.
Reviewer's report:

This is an interesting case report, well presented and written. I would suggest to change the word "conclusions" by "discussion".

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.

Response to the reviewer:

Dear Dr najia hajjaj-hassouni,
Thank you for your good evaluation and kind suggestion on our paper. We have changed the word “Conclusions” by “Discussion and Conclusions” in the revised manuscript and we expect to learn much more from you in the future.

Best Regards,
Xiao-Peng Yao, M.D.
Professor of Medicine
Department of Respiratory Medicine, Changhai Hospital
Second Military Medical University, Shanghai, China
Tel: +86-21-81873240
Fax: +86-21-51190920
E-mail: xiaopengyao6@yahoo.com.cn