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Reviewer's report:

Major Compulsory Revisions
The manuscript is quite interesting and the aim is clear. The impact of the NPI has to be reported in the abstract results.

- Minor Essential Revisions
The authors should clarify in the background the meaning of the sentence: “Hypothetically, this particular tumour dissemination pattern would impair the reliability of using Nottingham Prognostic Index as a tool for triple-negative breast cancer prognostication”, and cancelled the entire first paragraph from the discussion being redundant. The last two sections of the results do not give any important information.

- Discretionary Revisions
The background is too long.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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