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Author's response to reviews: see over
Dear editor and reviewer,

At first, We would like to express my appreciation to you and the reviewer for suggesting how to improve our paper. Thank you very much for giving me the chance to revise our manuscript.

Because the most important image which shows the seminal vesicle metastasis could not be attained from the other hospital. We regretted very much. In order to make the history of the patient clear, we investigated the details during the operation from the surgical doctors again, and revised some messages. All the revised sentences were marked by red color. We hope sincerely that the revised manuscript is now suitable for the reviewer’s request. If the reviewer thinks it is not still suitable, we hope sincerely we have a chance to revise it.

Thanks!
The answer to reviewer can be seen the following page.

Best wishes!
Reviewer's report and our answers:
The reviewers have addressed some of the points that have been mentioned. However, the presentation of the case is still confusing, the chronicity of the history of the patients is not clearly shown.

Answer: We have reedited the patient’s history, and hope it can reach your request.

The applied treatment seems not to be in consens with the international guidelines.

Answer: Both radiofrequency ablation therapy and transarterial interventions (embolization or chemoembolization) are usually applied in our hospital, but the applier treatment is different according different patient. We know the international guidelines on HCC, and apply it gradually to treat HCC.

Furthermore the authors were not able to show convincingly that the HCC formation in the seminacal vesicle was truely a metastasis or just a peritoneal meatasis since additional peritoneal metastases were reported.

Answer: Because the most important image which shows the seminal vesicle metastasis could not be attained from the other hospital. We fell regretful very much. In order to make the history of the patient clear, we investigated the details during the operation from the surgical doctors again, and revised some messages. We hope sincerely that the revised manuscript is now suitable for your request.