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Reviewer’s report:

The authors’ response to reviewer comments as well as the revised manuscript is satisfactory; indeed, the revised form of the manuscript is acceptable. The study question posed by the authors is well-defined. The methodology and data are appropriate. The discussion appears relevant and is considerably supported by the data. Overall, the writing and references cited are appropriate and the authors have done appreciable work in the present study. The authors have responded impressively to the comments1/2/3 that I had raised previously.

Discretionary Revisions:
The authors have responded impressively to the comments1/2/3 that I had risen previously.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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