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Reviewer’s report:

Major Compulsory Revisions

- In relation to the title of the manuscript, I would suggest that it be re-worded to something similar to the following: “Factors associated with cancer-related fatigue in breast cancer patients undergoing endocrine treatment in an urban setting”

- I would suggest a separate table describing the results of logistic regression in these patients. The table should describe the Odds Ratios, 95% CIs and corresponding p values for all variables that were investigated in relation to CRF.

- Please describe the inclusion and exclusion criteria for this study?

- How was the sample size of 315 reached? How many eligible patients were seen during the indicated time interval? How many were approached to participate? And how many were finally recruited? What was the response rate? It's difficult to ascertain whether the sample is a convenience sample, a random sample or a case series.

- What are the limitations of this study? This needs to be addressed in the discussion section. For example, some of the limitations of the study might include that it was a retrospective study design and/or a convenience study sample etc.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.

Declaration of competing interests:

I declare that I have no competing interests.