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Dear Editor

Re: MS: 3716260532675858 : A case study evaluation of implementation of a care pathway to support normal birth in one English birth centre: anticipated benefits and unintended consequences

Thank you for the feedback from the Associate Editor requiring further minor revisions to our manuscript. Our point-by-point responses to their feedback are set out below.

We hope that our paper will now be considered as acceptable for publication in your journal and we look forward to hearing your response in due course.

Yours faithfully,

Debra Bick
Professor of Evidence Based Midwifery Practice

18 September 2009
Response to Associate Editor’s comments, 15/09/09

Page 4: Space now left between last word and reference number.

Page 11. Space now left between last word and reference number

Page 26. Space now left between last word and reference number

Page 11. Text now reads: Key stakeholder interviews were held with senior midwifery managers, midwives working on the Birth Centre who were not observed and obstetricians to explore their views of the care pathway, its use in practice and influences on use.

Page 15. Single quotation mark inserted at end of quote.

Page 21. Ending quotation mark added

Reference 22. Place of publication now provided

References 12 and 30. Full journal titles included.

The title page has been reformatted in line with journal style.

Page 2. The title has been deleted from the top of the page.