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Reviewer’s report:

Major Compulsory Revisions:
None

Minor Essential Revisions
1. Title: the last three words could be deleted.
2. Page 4, please consider adding other database e.g. SCOPUS etc. to make the search more comprehensive
3. Page 5, line 6, is it necessary to obtain full text of all papers obtained from the search. Can the authors assess the eligibility by reviewing only the abstracts and obtain full text only for those eligible papers?
4. Page 6, data synthesis:
   4.1 Usually, sensitivity analysis is not used to explore causes of heterogeneity.
   4.2 Last line, the authors should give the details of statistical methods that they plan to use.
5. I think Table 3 is a figure not a table.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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