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Dr Lolu da-Silva
Senior Assistant Editor
BMC-series journals

Dear Lolu,


Thank you for your letter of acceptance and reviewers comments on our manuscript. We have addressed all the suggestions from the reviewers below.

REVIEWER 2:

Minor Revisions:
In the results section, 1st paragraph line 3 in the sentence “Just over half of the women enrolled in the study were over the age of 30 (219, 56%).” It would be more clear to mark the number of the case with “n=”, i.e. (n=219, 56%).

We have changed the text as requested.

EDITOR’S REVIEW:
We would also like to request that you discuss the exclusion of women who needed interpreters as a limitation of the study.

We have discussed the exclusion of women requiring interpreters on page twelve, last paragraph.

Please let us know if there are any further points requiring clarification. Please find attached the amended manuscript. We will look forward to seeing our paper in print in the near future.

With best wishes
Yours sincerely

Ms Natalie Thomas     Professor Caroline Crowther     Ms Philippa Middleton
Discipline of Obstetrics and Gynaecology