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Reviewer’s report:

General
The authors have adequately addressed my comments/questions from the original version.

A few suggested (but ignorable) changes below:

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

1. In the box describing the model, move "where" from the first line to a second line by itself.

2. Further to my original comment 4 - you may want to state this (that you’re using Poisson but interpreting as a relative risk).

What next?: Accept after discretionary revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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